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**Figure 1.** Mean (±SEM) daily batch fecundity and fertilization of meagre (n=1-4) induced to spawn with GnRHa injections (n=17, once every week) during 2014. The two-way ANOVA (GnRHa injection number vs Spawn number after each injection) indicated the existence of a significant interaction (P=0.001) in fecundity only, while the two main factors did not have any significant effect (either in fecundity or fertilization). Linear regression analysis indicated the existence of a significant negative relation between GnRHa injection number and fecundity for the 2nd spawn data (n=32, R2=0.37, P =0.001, data not shown).

**Table 1.** Biometric and treatment data of all meagre breeders used in the spawning induction studies, at the time of hormone administration. The mean oocyte diameter represents the largest vitellogenic oocytes at the time of treatment. All fish were treated with an EVAc GnRHa implant, and variations in the effective GnRHa dose were due to the fact that implants were loaded with fixed amounts of GnRHa
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